

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,092		03/19/2002	Steven Thomas DiLodovico	201-0486 FAM	8178
28549	7590	10/02/2003		EXAMINER	
KEVIN G.		VA	MANCHO, RONNIE M		
ARTZ & AF	RTZ, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIE		ROAD, SUITE 250 48034			
	•			DATE MAILED: 10/02/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, at the second	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/063,092	DILODOVICO ET AL						
•	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Ronnie Mancho	3663						
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and single of the control of t	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	/ to a tion in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the first (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate of the final (	opriate extension Office action: or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); `								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	<b>S</b> .					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO1	place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo		nd an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-21</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:	. , , , _							
<del></del>								





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The independent claims 1, 13, 17, 20 have been amended to include the limitation "in response to at least one object in proximity with the vehicle", which needs further consideration.

PATENT EXAMINET